ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBE IN TRIBAL COURT

Ι

PRESIDENT SCOTT,	CIV 15-71
Plaintiff,	
v. VICE PRESIDENT KINDLE, et al.	AFFIDAVIT OF STEVEN SANDVEN
Defendants.	
STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)) ss. COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA)	

- STEVEN D. SANDVEN, being first duly sworn on oath, states and alleges as follows:
- Attached as EXHIBIT 10 is a true and correct copy of the May 15, 1987 motions from the Rosebud Sioux Tribe 1987 Motion Digest where Councilman Thomas Walking Eagle was removed for gross misconduct.
- 2. Attached as **EXHIBIT 11** is a true and correct copy of the April 21, 2009 Rosebud Sioux Tribal Council Meeting Minutes where Councilman Michael Valandra was suspended by a vote of 12 Yes, 0 No, 0 Abstain and 8 Absent.
- 3. Attached as **EXHIBIT 12** is a true and correct copy of the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Council June 30, 2003 Special Meeting Minutes where Council Representative Representative Tez Duysak, Jr. was "found guilty of gross misconduct of tribal affairs in violation of the Code of Ethics" and removed by a vote of 16 Yes, 0 No, 2 Abstain and 2 Absent.

- 4. Attached as **EXHIBIT 13** is a true and correct copy of my March 17, 2015 letter to Special Judge Arganbright.
- 5. Attached as **EXHIBIT 14** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff Attorney Al Arendt's March 17, 2015 email at 5:08 p.m to Special Judge Arganbright that provides: "Judge I am writing to you about tomorrow's hearing at 9 AM. I will attend but here is my response on this matter. Attached is a copy of my letter to J. Marshall with my letter of 12/15/14 to the clerk's office filing Cyril Scott's signed stipulation to dismiss with prejudice. I have called my client 3 times with no luck. I have written to him twice to respond to this matter. I have emailed him four times. I have not received any response. I know that the court wants Scott in court to confirm that this action is being dismissed with prejudice. However, my client has chosen not to communicate with me or respond to my entreaties. There is little more that I can do. I am also doing all of this gratis."
- 6. Attached as **EXHIBIT 15** is a true and correct copy of the Stipulation to Dismiss With Prejudice and Order in CV 14-490 executed by Special Judge Arganbright on March 18, 2015.
- 7. Attached as **EXHIBIT 16** is a true and correct copy of the March 20, 2015 Notice of Entry of Order and Motion to Withdraw filed by Attorney Arendt in CV 14-490 on March 20, 2015.
- 8. Attached as **EXHIBIT 17** is a true and correct copy of RST Ordinance 2003-05.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAITH NOT.

STEVEN D. SANDVEN

wh &

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of March, 2015.



Motion Digest 1987

05 15 07	1987	
05-15-87	Motion by Paul Joseph to declare Resolution No. 87-92 as	F-22
	emergency legislation. Motion Carried	O-0
05-15-87	Motion by Ronald Valandra to excuse Marilyn Gangone at 3/20	NV-5
	to attend son's school activities at Mart. Mark	
20.0	to attend son's school activities at Marty. Motion Carried	O-1
05-15-87	Motion by Paul Joseph to approve Resolution No. 87-92, as	NV-3
The state of the s	amended, which authorizes sale to Jack Lamoureaux of lots 1,	F-12
and the second second	2, 3, 4 and 5 of Block 10 in Mission. Motion Carried	O-10
05-15-87	Motion by Seth Big Crow to proceed with the Hearing on	NV-1
	Thomas Walking Eagle. Motion Carried	F-15
	- Wanting Bagic. Would Carried	O-4
05-15-87	Motion by Carl McKenzie to remove Thomas Walking Eagle,	NV-4
	Spring Creek Community Representative from the Tribal	F-20 O-3
	Council on the grounds of Gross Misconduct. Motion Carried	NV-3
05-15-87	Motion by Carl McKenzie that the Tribal Administration	
	immediately notify Thomas Walking Eagle of his removal and	F-27
	request the Election Board set a date for a Special Election for	NV-0
	council representative from Spring Creek Community.	, v - v
	Motion Carried	the second secon
05-15-87	Motion by Carl McKenzie to refer the question of Thomas	F-25
	Walking Eagle's advanced per diem to the Budget & Finance	O-0
	Committee.	NV-1
05-15-87	Motion by Rita Halmi to move \$9,000 from BIA	
	Administration Services to Tribally Controlled Community	F-17 O-2
	Colleges. Motion Carried	NV-7
05-15-87	Motion by Paul Joseph to declare Resolution No. 87-93 as	
	emergency legislation. Motion Carried	F-26
		O-0 NV-0
05-15-87	Motion by Paul Valandra to approve Resolution No. 87-93, as	F-26
	amended, which supports a Tribal I.P.S. budget plan for	O-0
	Rosebud Agency for FY-1989. Motion Carried	NV-0
05-15-87	Council adjourned by census of it membership at 6:20 p.m.	
06-05-87	Meeting cancelled due to lack of quorum.	
06-08-87	Motion by Rito Holmi to and	F-15
	requesting to be excused. Motion Carried	O-3
		NV-1
06-08-87	Motion by Valerie Wilcox to approve the Council meeting	F-17
	manustae at Mari 7 1007 Nr. 11 a	O-1
TO CONTRACT OF THE SECOND SECO		NV-3

EXHIBIT /O

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES April 21, 2009

Chairman Rodney M. Bordeaux called the meeting to order at 10:45 a.m. Roll call was taken with twelve council representatives present thereby constituting a quorum. They were as follows: Darrell Herman Steve DeNoyer, Jr. Norman Running Sr. Claudette Arcoren Anthony Castaway James Henry, Sr. Robert Shot Stephanie Sully Lenard Wright

Council representatives entering after roll call:

Scott Herman

William Long III

Donna Hollow Horn Bear Robert Moore

Michael Boltz Lewis Good Voice Eagle

Council representatives who were absent:

Shawn Bordeaux Trent Poignee

Michael Valandra

Russell Eagle Bear Byron Andrews

INVOCATION - Roy Stone, Sr. gave the invocation.

Motion by Lenard Wright to suspend District #5 - Council Representative Michael Valandra without pay, remove him from the committees that he is on and suspend his authority until the outcome of this hearing, whatever it is, court case, with censure effective immediately and that all tribal property (cell phone, laptop) be returned to the RST as soon as possible. Seconded by Robert Moore. Questioned by Stephanie Sully. The roll call vote was taken with 12 yes, 0 - no, 0 abstained and 8 absent. MOTION CARRIED

Past business - Motion by Stephanie Sully to reconsider and rescind the March 16's motion regarding Tribal President drafting a letter to the court system for William Bearshield. Seconded by Robert Moore. Questioned by Lenard Wright. The vote was 13 in favor, 0 - opposed and 1 not voting. MOTION CARRIED

James Henry, Sr. - offered an apology to his family and colleagues for the remarks on the internet and brief discussion on his court charges from 2008.

Motion by Scott Herman to accept James Henry's resignation from the Judiciary Committee. Seconded by Robert Moore. Questioned by Lenard Wright. The vote was 12 in favor, 0 - opposed and 1 not voting. MOTION CARRIED

Lunch Break at 12:45 p.m./return at 1:55 p.m. RSTC MEETING 04-21-09 Page 2.

Rosebud Casino Reports - Danny Gutteirez introduced Joseph Eve Representative who presented and discussed the audit findings for FY 2008 for the casino, fuel plaza and hotel. Also, presented written reports for the Casino, Fuel Plaza and Hotel for March 2009.

Motion by Darrell Herman to approve the FY 2008 audits; noting that a corrective action plan is in order for the Casino, fuel plaza and hotel Seconded by William Long, III. Questioned by Lewis Good Voice Eagle. The vote was 11 in favor, 0 - opposed and 2 not voting. MOTION CARRIED

Chairman Bordeaux recess the meeting at 3:30 p.m. until Thursday.

Respectfully Submitted,

Gerri Night Pipe, Secretary Rosebud Sioux Tribe

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COUNCIL June 30, 2003

Special Meeting Minutes

Chairman Kindle called the meeting to order at 10:10 a.m. Roll call was taken with fifteen (15) council representatives answering thereby constituting a quorum in which to conduct business. They were as follows:

Wayne Ducheneaux Steve Denoyer, Jr. Scott Herman Eddie Farmer Jo A. Colombe Rick Young Michael Boltz Wanda Brave McCauley Eric Nixon Robert Shot Rodney Bordeaux Lenard Wright Fern Bordeaux/Boltz Christine Dunham Fremont Fallis

Council representatives who entered after roll call was taken:

Darrell Marcus Clifford Chasing Hawk Tez Duysak

Council representatives who were absent:

Wayne Boyd Anthony Castaway

Other officers present: Vice Chairman Vernon "Ike" Schmidt, Treasurer Ken Wike, RST Committee Secretary Bernadette Howard, and Sergeant at arms Glen Yellow Eagle.

INVOCATION – Christine Dunham said the prayer.

Chairman Kindle turned the meeting over to Hearing Judge Sherman Marshall who started the hearing process for District 7 - Council Representative Tez Duysak, Jr.

The following motion was made after the conclusion of the hearing:

Motion by Eric Nixon that the Tribal Council find District 7 — Council Representative Tez. Duysak, Jr. guilty of gross misconduct of tribal affairs in violation of the Code of Ethics and that the Tribal Council remove District 7 — Council Representative Tez Duysak, Jr. from office of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe effective immediately. Seconded by Christine Dunham. Questioned Wayne Ducheneaux. Roll call vote results:

Wayne Ducheneaux, YES; Steve DeNoyer, Jr., YES; Scott Herman, YES; Eddie Farmer, YES; Wayne Boyd, ABSENT; Jo A. Colombe, YES, Darrell Marcus, YES; Richard Rick Young, ABSTAIN; Michael Boltz, YES; Wanda Brave/McCauley, YES; Eric Nixon, YES; Tez, Duysak, Jr., ABSTAIN; Anthony Castaway, ABSENT; Robert Shot, YES; Rodney Bordeaux, YES; Lenard Wright, YES; Fern Bordeaux/Boltz, YES; Christine Dunham, YES; Fremont Fallis, YES; Clifford Chasing Hawk, YES. VOTE COUNT: 16 YES, 0 NO; 2 ABSTAIN; and 2 ABSENT. MOTION CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT – Motion by Eric Nixon to adjourn. Seconded by Wayne Ducheneaux. Questioned by Lenard Wright. Vote: 18 in favor, 0 opposed and 0 not voting. **MOTION CARRIED.**

STEVEN D. SANDVEN

LAW OFFICE PC

PRINCIPAL STEVEN D. SANDVEN

Admitted in South Dakota, Minnesota & Washington D.C. 3600 South Westport Avenue, Suite 200 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57106-6344 Telephone (605) 332-4408 Facsimile (605) 332-4496 ssandvenlaw@aol.com

March 17, 2015

Honorable Warren Arganbright, Special Judge Rosebud Sioux Tribe P.O. 129 Mission SD 57570

Re: Scott v. Walking Eagle, et al., CV 14-490

Dear Judge Arganbright:

This memorandum supplements my March 13th letter regarding Defendants' request for dismissal of the underlying matter in the above-described matter with prejudice at tomorrow's hearing. *Ex. 1*

Please find for your review the following opportunities provided by the Court for Plaintiff to dismiss the underlying action in the above described matter:

1. <u>December 11, 2014 hearing excerpts</u> – *Ex. 2*:

MR. ARENDT: You know, Judge, I don't have a problem with you issuing the order today

with prejudice.

THE COURT: Relative to the temporary restraining order?

MR. ARENDT: Right. (10:7-11)

THE COURT: Thank you. As I review 41(a), I don't think 41(a) requires any showing of

reason. I think it's discretionary, and I don't think there is any requirement for any justification for dismissal. But I don't think we're here either because we have an order that's been entered. And based on the motion and the argument, I am going to vacate the temporary restraining order. I'm also going to order that no further applications for TROs may be filed

under this particular case and that complaint. (18:6-15)

(Proceedings adjourned.) (Court reopened the hearing.)

THE COURT: Mr. Arendt, do you have a motion?

MR. ARENDT: I do, Your Honor. I propose that this action be dismissed with prejudice.

THE COURT: The underlying action?

MR. ARENDT: The underlying action be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety by

stipulation of the parties. I propose that I draft and submit to my client that



motion, have him sign off on it. I'll sign off on it. I will then mail it to Mr. Sandven and obtain his signature. And once all the signature are obtained, we will present it to you with a proposed order of dismissal with prejudice. (25:11-22)

THE COURT:

The Court is going to enter a conditional order of dismissal that — conditioned upon the plaintiff executing a stipulation to dismissal and filing it in the Court file. I don't necessarily know that I need Mr. Sandven's signature since he has been here present in court today and so stipulated, but if you wish to sign it, that would be fine as well, the stipulation, the dismissal.

MR. SANDVEN:

It's not required, Judge. I don't need to. (26:1-10)

- 2. <u>December 12, 2014 Order</u>: "The Court, being duly advised in the premises, does vacate the Temporary Restraining Order previously entered herein by this Court, with prejudice ... Plaintiff, by Al Arendt, moved the Court to dismiss the underlying action and Complaint filed herein, with prejudice. There being no objections, the Court enteres a Condition Order of dismissal...." Ex. 3
- 3. <u>January 27, 2015 Order</u>: "In the event that the Defendant wishes to proceed with the Defendant's counsel's motion as previously orally recited in Court on December 11, 2014, the Defendant shall file original executed written Stipulation to dismissal, executed by the Plaintiff, on or before the 17th day of February, 2015." *Ex. 4*
- 4. <u>February 20, 2015 Order</u>: "The Court Clerk has advised that Plaintiff has not filed an original signed stipulation to dismissal as provided in the Order of this Court entered January 27, 2015." *Ex.* 5
- 5. March 4, 2015 Order: "In the event Plaintiff desires to dismiss the action with prejudice as recited by Plaintiff's counsel in open court on December 11, 2014, then an originally executed Stipulation to dismissal with prejudice ... The Court does further order that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed per Order of the Court of this day, shall be heard on the 18th day of March, 2015 at 9:00 A.M...." Ex. 6

Please contact me if there are any questions.

Sincerely,

STEVEN D. SANDVEN Attorney for Defendants

Enclosures

Cc:

Al Arendt Clerk of Court

STEVEN D. SANDVEN

LAW OFFICE PC

PRINCIPAL Steven D. Sandven

Admitted in South Dakota, Minnesota & Washington D.C. 3600 South Westport Avenue, Suite 200 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57106-6344 Telephone (605) 332-4408 Facsimile (605) 332-4496 ssandvenlaw@aol.com

March 13, 2015

Honorable Warren Arganbright, Special Judge Rosebud Sioux Tribe P.O. 129 Mission SD 57570

Re: Scott v. Walking Eagle, et al.

CV 14-490

Dear Judge Arganbright:

This memorandum is provided in response to Mr. Arendt's email received at 11:44 a.m. today that states:

How was the hearing set for the 18th? I did not get any calls on this. I have found my letter of 12/15 to the RST Clerk filing the original of the Stipulation and Proposed order and am mailing that out to all concerned parties.

Your Order dated March 4, 2015 set the March 18th hearing. Mr. Arendt's described mailing does not fulfill your repeated requirement that an "original" be filed. I have not received notice that Mr. Scott appeared in "open court and on the record" and entered his stipulation. Hence, Defendants are prepared to proceed on March 18th with their motion to dismiss filed on March 3rd and supplement filed on March 5th.

Please contact me if there are any questions.

Sincerely,

STEVEN D. SANDVEN Attorney for Defendants

Cc:

Al Arendt Clerk of Court

EXHIBIT

Re: CV 14-490 Page 1 of 2

From: Al-Arendt Law <al-arendtlaw@qwestoffice.net>

To: Steven D. Sandven <ssandvenlaw@aol.com>; warganbright <warganbright@inebraska.com>; rhonda <rhonda@rstcourts.org>

Subject: Re: CV 14-490

Date: Fri, Mar 13, 2015 11:44 am

J. Argenbright-

How was the hearing set for the 18th? I did not get any calls on this.

I have found my letter of 12/15 to the RST Clerk filing the original of the Stipulation and Proposed order and am mailing that out to all concerned parties.

Al Arendt

From: Steven D. Sandven

Sent: Friday, March 13, 2015 10:54 AM

To: warganbright@inebraska.com; rhonda@rstcourts.org

Cc: al-arendtlaw@qwestoffice.net

Subject: Re: CV 14-490

Judge:

I will appear in person at the 18th hearing.

Thank you.

Steven D. Sandven Steven D. Sandven Law Office PC 3600 South Westport Avenue, Suite 200 Sioux Falls SD 57106 (w) 605 332-4408 (f) 605 332-4496 ssandvenlaw@aol.com

NOTICES:

CONFIDENTIALITY: This e-mail is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, discard any paper copies and delete all electronic files of the message.

TAX ADVICE DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that, except as expressly provided to the contrary, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

----Original Message-----

From: Steven D. Sandven <ssandvenlaw@aol.com>

To: warganbright <warganbright@inebraska.com>; rhonda <rhonda@rstcourts.org>

Cc: al-arendtlaw <al-arendtlaw@gwestoffice.net>

· Same	ROSEBUD SIOUX ROSEBUD INDIAN	TRIBAL COURT)	
2	ROSEBUD, SOUTH	DAKOTA	:SS)	IN CIVIL COURT
3	* * * * * * * *			
4		* * * * * * * *	* * * * *	· *
5	Cyril Scott,			* Civ. Docket #14-490
6		Plainti	ff,	*
7	-Vs-			* * HEARING
8	Lorraine Walkir RST Ethics Comm RST Tribal Secr	Aittee: Julie	Peneauv	* * *
9	the KST Tribal	Council: and	William	*
10	Kindle, Vice-Ch Tribal Council,	dirman of the	RST	*
11		Defenda	nts.	*
12	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * * *	*
13				
14	BEFORE:	The Honorab. Special Trib Rosebud Sio	oal Court	R. Arganbright Judge
15		Rosepud SIO	ux Tribe	
16	APPEARANCES:	Mr. Al Areno		
17		Arendt Law (Pierre, Sout	Office th Dakota	
18		Attorney for	the Pla:	intiff.
19		Mr. Steven D		
20		Steven D. Sa Sioux Falls,	andven Lav	w Office
2.1		Attorney for		
22				•
23	PROCEEDINGS:	The above-ent	itled mat	tter came on for ay of December,
24		2014, at Rose	bud, Sout	th Dakota.

25

terms as of today. I'm not asking for a preliminary 1 injunction. And that's at the directive of my client. 2 THE COURT: And if I may, one more question. 3 have read Mr. Sandven's materials. The question is what 4 prevents you from bringing another -- requesting another 5 6 temporary restraining order? 7 MR. ARENDT: You know, Judge, I don't have a problem with you issuing the order today with prejudice. 8 9 THE COURT: Relative to the temporary restraining 10 order? 11 MR. ARENDT: Right. Because I don't want to play games with the Court. I'm not trying to play games. 12 13 Right now if you dismiss the TRO, my underlying lawsuit 14 and the relief that we request in that is still available. And we're going -- we're going to deal with 15 that because Mr. Sandven has made it very clear, he's 16 17 going to try and get this lawsuit dismissed. But that's 18 not an argument for today. 19 The underlaying lawsuit is still there. And if I can 20 talk to Mr. Sandven privately some time, I think we 21 possibly could resolve that. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's for --23 MR. ARENDT: Another time. 24 THE COURT: -- another time and another place. Thank

25

you, Mr. Arendt.

given.

The bottom line is we are here today for one simple thing. And if I had made this -- if I had got this done last week, I think I could have done it by notice of dismissal. Dismiss the TRO with prejudice. That's all.

THE COURT: Thank you. As I review 41(a), I don't think 41(a) requires any showing of reason. I think it's discretionary, and I don't think there is any requirement for any justification for dismissal. But I don't think we're here either because we have an order that's been entered.

And based on the motion and the argument, I am going to vacate the temporary restraining order. I'm also going to order that no further applications for TROs may be filed under this particular case and that complaint.

And I guess the next thing we need to do is talk about where we go next on this action that is pending. We -- are there any other -- do you want some time to file motions, do you want to set a trial date?

MR. ARENDT: I'd like to respond to the pleadings that have been filed to the extent that they deal with other issues than that. I think the one I received this morning deals with basically that. What I think we need is a scheduling order, Your Honor. You want to do that in open court or can we do that with the clerk's office?

excused.

(Proceedings adjourned.)

THE COURT: All right. This is, again, Warren Arganbright, Special Tribal Court Judge appointed to preside over case Civ. 14-490. We previously adjourned court. After a conference with counsel in chambers, we have reopened the hearing at this time.

Present in the courtroom is Al Arendt for the plaintiff without the plaintiff, and Steven Sandven for the defendants without the defendants.

Mr. Arendt, did you have a motion?

MR. ARENDT: I do, Your Honor. I propose that this action be dismissed with prejudice.

THE COURT: The underlying action?

MR. ARENDT: The underlying action be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety by stipulation of the parties. I propose that I draft and submit to my client that motion, have him sign off on it. I'll sign off on it. I will then mail it to Mr. Sandven and obtain his signature. And once all the signatures are obtained, we will present it to you with a proposed order of dismissal with prejudice.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Sandven.

MR. SANDVEN: Not as long as those contingencies are (inaudible).

1	THE COURT: The Court is going to enter a conditional		
2	order of dismissal that conditioned upon the plaintiff		
3	executing a stipulation to dismissal and filing it in the		
4	Court file. I don't necessarily know that I need		
5	Mr. Sandven's signature since he has been here present in		
6	court today and so stipulated, but if you wish to sign		
7	it, that would be fine as well, the stipulation, the		
8	dismissal.		
9	MR. SANDVEN: It's not required, Judge. I don't need		
10	to.		
11	THE COURT: Fair enough.		
12	MR. ARENDT: I can just go ahead and obtain my		
13	signature and his signature and send it to you?		
14	THE COURT: That's it. Actually send it to the clerk		
15	and copy me.		
16	MR. ARENDT: Okay.		
17	THE COURT: Original to the court.		
18	MR. ARENDT: And I will cc. everything to		
19	Mr. Sandven.		
20	THE COURT: Anything else?		
21	MR. SANDVEN: Thank you, Your Honor.		
22	THE COURT: Thank you.		
23	(End of proceedings.)		
24			
25			
- 1			

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION) :ss	IN CIVIL COURT
ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA	<i>)</i> *******	*********
	*	CIV DOCKET # 14-490
CYRIL SCOTT,	*	
·	*	
Plaintiff,	*	
VS.	*	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
	*	
LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE,	*	
Chairman, RST Ethics Committe;	*	
JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary,	*	
on behalf of the RST Tribal Council;	*	
and WILLIAM KINDLE, Vice-Chairman	*	
of the RST Tribal Council,	*	
of the Ref. Thom country,	*	
Defendant.	*	
Bolondailt.	*	
*********	*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Now on this 11th day of December, 2014, this matter came on for hearing before the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Court, the Honorable Warren R. Arganbright, Special Tribal Court Judge, present and presiding. The matter comes on before the Court in the above entitled case on Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Temporary Restraining Order filed October 10, 2014. Appearances: Al Arendt for Plaintiff, Cyril Scott, without the Plaintiff; and Steven D. Sandven, with the Defendants.

The matter proceeded to hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Temporary Restraining Order. Plaintiff presented argument. Defendant presented argument. Plaintiff presented rebuttal argument. Plaintiff requested that any Order sustaining the Motion to Dismiss Temporary Restraining Order provide for dismissal with prejudice.

The Court, being duly advised in the premises, does vacate the Temporary Restraining

Order previously entered herein by this Court, with prejudice.

The matter then proceeded to progression hearing. Discussion was had. Dates were



proposed and established. Court was adjourned.

Court reconvened with the following appearances: Al Arendt for the Plaintiff, without the Plaintiff; and Steven D. Sandven for the Defendants, without the Defendants. Plaintiff, by Al Arendt, moved the Court to dismiss the underlying action and Complaint filed herein, with prejudice. There being no objections, the Court enters a Conditional Order of Dismissal. The matter will stand dismissed upon filing of Stipulation to Dismissal executed by the Plaintiff personally and his counsel. Defendant, having no objection, is not required to execute the Stipulation. In the event that Stipulation of Dismissal is not filed in the Court file within ten (10) days, the Court will enter a Progression Order relative to the discussions had in open Court for progression.

DATED AND ENTERED this 12 day of DECEMBER, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

Warren R. Arganbright Special Tribal Court Judge

ATTEST:

Clerk of Courts

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA) :ss IN CIVIL COURT)
*****	***********
	*
	* CIV DOCKET # 14-490
CYRIL SCOTT,	*
w	*
Plaintiff,	*
VS.	* ORDER
101	*
LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE,	*
Chairman, RST Ethics Committe;	*
JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary,	*
on behalf of the RST Tribal Council;	*
and WILLIAM KINDLE, Vice-Chairman	*
of the RST Tribal Council,	*
•	*
Defendant.	*
	*
كو ملك ملك ملك ماك ملك ملك ملك ملك ملك ملك ملك على ملك على ملك ملك ملك على و و و و و و	*****************

Now on this 27th day of January, 2015, this matter came on before the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Court, the Honorable Warren R. Arganbright, Special Tribal Court Judge, present and presiding, in chambers in Rosebud, South Dakota, on the Court's own motion. The Court, in response to various requests regarding the Progression Order entered by this Court on the 24th day of December, 2014, and based further upon the passage of deadlines provided in said Order, and on the motion of dismissal of the underlying cause of action which the Court conditioned upon receipt of a Stipulation to dismissal signed by the Plaintiff and filed in the Court file, based on the Plaintiff's non-appearance at said hearing, and further based on Defendant's counsel's written affirmation of mailing of the Stipulation to dismissal and upon the Court's review of the Court file finding no receipt of the same, and, finally, based on the Defendant's counsel's requested extension of proceedings under the terms of the previously entered Progression Order pending his return to the area from a previously arranged trip away from South Dakota, the Court



does enter the following Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- In the event that the Defendant wishes to proceed with the Defendant's counsel's motion to dismiss as previously orally recited in Court on December 11, 2014, the Defendant shall file original executed written Stipulation to dismissal, executed by the Plaintiff, on or before the 17th day of February, 2015.
- 2. It is further ordered that, failing filing of original executed Stipulation to dismissal signed by the Plaintiff, that the parties are ordered to appear before this Court on the 4th day of March, 2015, for the purposes of considering amendments to the previous Progression Order entered on December 24, 2015. In the event that counsel for the parties consent to participate in said progression hearing telephonically, Plaintiff is to arrange telephonic progression hearing.

SO ORDERED.

DATED AND ENTERED this 27 day of JANUARY, 2015.

Warren R. Arganbright Special Tribal Court Judge

BY THE COURT

ATTEST:

Clerk of Courts

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA) :ss)	IN CIVIL COURT
********	******	*********
	*	CIV DOCKET # 14-490
CYRIL SCOTT,	*	
Plaintiff,	*	
vs.	*	ORDER
LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, Chairman, RST Ethics Committe;	* *	
JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary, on behalf of the RST Tribal Council;	*	
and WILLIAM KINDLE, Vice-Chairman of the RST Tribal Council,	*	
Defendant.	*	
	*******	******

Now on this 20th day of February, 2015, this matter came on before the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Court, the Honorable Warren R. Arganbright, Special Tribal Court Judge, present and presiding, in chambers and on the Court's own motion. The Court Clerk has advised that Plaintiff has not filed an original signed stipulation to dismissal as provided in the Order of this Court entered January 27, 2015. The Court has been further advised that the Order entered by the Court on January 27, 2015, providing for a Progression Conference for March 4, 2015, failed to set a time for said hearing.

The Court, being duly advised in the premises, orders that hearing on amendment of Progression Order entered December 24, 2015, shall be held before this Court on March 4, 2015, at 9:00 A.M., or so soon thereafter as the same may be heard.



It is further ordered that, due to Defendant's counsel's declination of telephonic progression conference received by the Court via email with copy shown provided to Plaintiff's counsel, the progression conference shall be held on the date and time set forth above, in open court.

SO ORDERED.

DATED AND ENTERED this 26 day of FEBRUARY, 2015.

BY THE COURT;

Warren R. Arganbright Special Tribal Court Judge

ATTEST:

Clerk of Courts

CADocuments and Settings/wrall.ocal Settings/Temporary Internet Files/OLK 1873/ORDER-SCOTTCYRILs LORRAINE WALKING BAGLEchalmanRST 2 20 15, upd

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION) :ss	IN CIVIL COURT
ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA ************************************	, *****	******
	*	
	*	CIV DOCKET # 1s4-490
CYRIL SCOTT,	*	
•	*	
Plaintiff,	*	
VS.	*	ORDER
	*	
LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE,	*	
Chairman, RST Ethics Committe;	*	
JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary,	*	
on behalf of the RST Tribal Council;	*	
and WILLIAM KINDLE, Vice-Chairman	*	
of the RST Tribal Council,	*	
,	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	
	ۍ مت دت مت مت	

Now on this 4th day of March, 2015, this matter came on for hearing before the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Court, the Honorable Warren R. Arganbright, Special Tribal Court Judge, present and presiding. Plaintiff appears by attorney, Al Arendt, appearing telephonically, without the Plaintiff. Defendants appear by Steven Sandven, attorney for the Defendants, without the Defendants.

The matter comes on for Progression Hearing per Order of the Court of February 24, 2014, and continued per Order of the Court entered January 27, 2015, and continued per Order of the Court entered February 20, 2015. On review of the Court file, the Court finds that the Order continuing the matter to this date entered by the Court on February 20, 2015, is not filed in the Court file. The Court has, in its file, an executed copy of the Order and provides the same to the Court Clerk for filing this day. The Court further advises that it has in its possession an emailed letter, from Steven B. Sandven, attorney for the Defendants, together with Motion to Dismiss, Memorandum of Law and Certificate of Service which the Court orders filed in the Court file, and which, in open Court, counsel for Plaintiff acknowledges receipt of.

Discussion, on the record, between Court and counsel was had. The Plaintiff advises the Court that Plaintiff's attorney will obtain and execute a Stipulation to dismissal with prejudice to



be executed by the Plaintiff and filed in the Court file. Plaintiff presented argument. Defendant presented argument.

The Court, being duly advised in the premises, enters the following Order:

- 1. In the event Plaintiff desires to dismiss the action with prejudice as recited by Plaintiff's counsel in open Court on December 11, 2014, then an originally executed Stipulation to dismissal with prejudice, signed by the Plaintiff, must be filed in the Court file, which execution shall be witnessed by a Court officer, whether Clerk, Court Service Officer or other sworn officer of the Court.
- 2. In the event that no originally executed Stipulation to dismissal with prejudice is filed by the Plaintiff, Plaintiff may, alternatively, on notice and hearing and personal appearance in Court, with or without Counsel, enter his Stipulation to dismissal with prejudice, in open Court and on the record.
- of the Court does further order that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed per Order of the Court of this day, shall be heard on the 18th day of March, 2015, at 9:00 A.M., or so soon thereafter as the same may be heard. The parties are advised that in the event no evidence is required relative to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss With Prejudice, that Counsel may appear telephonically.

SO ORDERED.

DATED AND ENTERED this ______ day of MARCH, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

Warren R. Arganbright
Special Tribal Court Judge

ATTEST:

Clerk of Courts

From: Al-Arendt Law <al-arendtlaw@qwestoffice.net>

Cc: Cyril Scott <cyril.scott@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov>

Subject: Tomorrow hearing

Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 5:08 pm

Attachments: SCOTT MARSHALL LETTERS.pdf (648K)

Judge-

I am writing to you about tomorrow's hearing at 9 AM. I will attend but here is my response on this matter.

Attached is a copy of my letter to J. Marshall with my letter of 12/15/14 to the clerk's office filing Cyril Scott's signed stipulation to dismiss with prejudice. I have called my client 3 times with no luck. I have written to him twice to respond to this matter. I have emailed him four times. I have not received any response. I know that the court wants Scott in court to confirm that this action is being dismissed with prejudice. However, my client has chosen not to communicate with me or respond to my entreaties.

There is little more that I can do. I am also doing all of this gratis.

Al Arendt

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT) ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION:SSTROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA

IN TRIBAL COURT

CYRIL SCOTT,

11CDV #14-490

PLAINTIFF,

ν.

LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, Chairman,)
RST Ethics Committee; JULIE)
PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary,)
on behalf of the RST Tribal)
Council; and WILLIAM KINDLE, Vice)
Chairman of the RST Tribal Council.)

WITH PREJUDICE AND ORDER

STIPULATION TO DISMISS

DEFENDANTS.

* * * * * * * * * * *

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Cyril Scott, and hereby STIPULATES that the underlying action herein may be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2014.

Cyril Scott, Plaintiff

President, Rosebud Sioux Tribe Rosebud, South Dakota

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the Honorable Warren Arganbright, and based upon the aforementioned Plaintiff's Stipulation, and the oral consent given by the parties' attorneys given in open court this 11th day of December, 2014, the Court does hereby make and enter the following Order;



NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Scott vs Walking Eagle Page 2

DATED this 20 day of March, 2015.

ARENDT LAW OFFICE

Al Arendt, attorney for Plaintiff P.O. Box 1077 Pierre, SD 57501 (605) 224-7700.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Al Arendt, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER, along with STIPULATION AND ORDER upon the person next designated by mailing same by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Mr. Steven Sandven Attorney at Law 3600 S. Westport Ave Suite 200 Sioux Falls, SD 57106

DATED this 20 day of March, 2015.

Al Arendt, Attorney for Plaintiff

STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE AND ORDER Scott v. Walking Eagle, et al., CIV. 14-490

It is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the aforementioned action is hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

Judge

ATTEST:

(SEAL)

18 Phinas 85

ARENDT LAW OFFICE

Al Arendt, Attorney P.O. Box 1077 Pierre, SD 57501

Phone: (605) 224-7700 Fax: (605) 224-7705

March 20, 2015

Rosebud Sioux Tribal Court Rhonda Black Lance, Civil Clerk P.O. Box 129 Rosebud, SD 57570

RE: Scott vs Walking Eagle, et. al. CIV #: 14-490

Dear Rhonda:

Find enclosed herewith my NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER pursuant to the March 19, 2015 hearing held on this matter in front of Judge Arganbright. Could you please file this.

In addition, also find enclosed herewith my MOTION TO WITHDRAW AND NOTICE OF MOTION in this matter, setting my request to withdraw as Plaintiff's counsel for hearing on Thursday, April 2, 2015 at 1:00 PM.

Could you please file these? Thank you. By copy , I am serving Mr. Sandven and my client.

Sincerely,

Al Arendt AA:rlj Enclosures

cc/encl.: Cyril Scott

Steven Sandven, Attorney



ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT) ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION :SS ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA)	IN TRIBAL COURT
CYRIL SCOTT,) CIV. #14-490
PLAINTIFF,)
V. ,)
LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, Chairman, OF THE RST Ethics Committee, JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary, on behalf of the RST Tribal Council; and WILLIAM KINDLE Vice Chairman of the RST Tribal Council,)) MOTION TO WITHDRAW) AND NOTICE OF MOTION)) E,))
DEFENDANT.)
* * * * * * * * * * * *	· * * * * * + + +

TO: CYRIL SCOTT, PRESIDENT OF THE RST TRIBAL COUNCIL, OF P.O. BOX 430, ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA 57570, LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, ET. AL., AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, STEVEN SANDVEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW, OF 3600 SOUTH WESTPORT AVENUE, SUITE 200, SIOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA 57106:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the undersigned intends to bring on his MOTION TO WITHDRAW for the aforementioned Plaintiff in front of the Honorable Warren Arganbright on Thursday, April 2, 2015 at 1:00 PM in the courtroom at the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Court, in Rosebud, South Dakota, or as soon thereafter said matter may be heard.

The basis for this motion is lack of communication between and Plaintiff and your undersigned.

MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL AND NOTICE OF MOTION Scott vs Walking Eagle Page 2

DATED this _____ day of March, 2015.

ARENDT LAW OFFICE

Al Arendt, attorney for Plaintiff P.O. Box 1077 Pierre, SD 57501 (605) 224-7700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Al Arendt, do hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL AND NOTICE OF MOTION upon the persons next designated by mailing same by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Mr. Cyril Scott, President Rosebud Sioux Tribe P.O. Box 430 Rosebud, SD 57570

Mr. Steven Sandven Attorney at Law 3600 S. Westport Ave Suite 200 Sioux Falls, SD 57106

DATED this 20 day of March, 2015.

Al Arendt, Attorney for Plaintiff

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT) ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION :SS ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA)	IN TRIBAL COURT
CYRIL SCOTT,) CIV. #14-490
PLAINTIFF,))
LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, Chairman, OF THE RST Ethics Committee, JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary, on behalf of the RST Tribal Council; and WILLIAM KINDLE Vice Chairman of the RST Tribal Council,) NOTICE OF ENTRY) OF ORDER)) ,))
DEFENDANT.)
* * * * * * * * * *) * * *

TO: LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, AS CHAIRMAN FOR THE RST ETHICS COMMITTEE, JULIE PENEAUX, RST TRIBAL SECRETARY, WILLIAM KINDLE, VICE CHAIRMAN, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD, STEVEN SANDVEN, ATTORNEY AT LAW, OF 3600 SOUTH WESTPORT AVENUE, SUITE 200, SIOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA 57106:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the attached STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL was hereby signed by the Honorable Warren Arganbright on December 11, 2014, and same said decree was filed in the office of the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Clerk of Courts, in Rosebud, South Dakota, on March 18, 2015.

You are referred to the Court's file on this matter for further details.

ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBAL COURT) ROSEBUD INDIAN RESERVATION:SS ROSEBUD, SOUTH DAKOTA

#14-490

IN TRIBAL COURT

CYRIL SCOTT,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

STIPULATION TO DISMISS LORRAINE WALKING EAGLE, Chairman, WITH PREJUDICE AND ORDER RST Ethics Committee; JULIE PENEAUX, RST Tribal Secretary, on behalf of the RST Tribal

DEFENDANTS.

Council; and WILLIAM KINDLE, Vice Chairman of the RST Tribal Council.)

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Cyril Scott, and hereby STIPULATES that the underlying action herein may be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

DATED this 11th day of December, 2014.

Cyrul Scott, Plaintiff

President, Rosebud Sioux Tribe

Rosebud, South Dakota

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

COMES NOW the Honorable Warren Arganbright, and based upon the aforementioned Plaintiff's Stipulation, and the oral consent given by the parties' attorneys given in open court this 11th day. of December, 2014, the Court does hereby make and enter the following Order;

STIPULATION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE AND ORDER Scott v. Walking Eagle, et al., CIV. 14-490

It is hereby Ordered, Adjudged and Decreed that the aforementioned action is hereby DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. DATED this 11th day of December, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

Judge

ATTEST:

(SEAL)

2